SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA *** FILED *** MARICOPA COUNTY 07/10/2001

06/29/2001 CLERK OF THE COURT FORM D000C

HONORABLE DAVID M. TALAMANTE

N.P. Hunt Deputy

FC 2001-090793

FILED:		

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF HEATHER PEDERSEN

GREGORY A RIEBESEHL

AND

LAMONT PEDERSEN LAMONT PEDERSEN

127 E INGRAM #1

MESA AZ 85201-0000

SUPPORT SERVICES-SE

TASC - MESA

MINUTE ENTRY

11:21 a.m. This is the time set for Evidentiary Hearing re: Mother's Petition for Temporary Orders. Heather Pedersen is present with counsel, Gregory A. Riebesehl. Lamont Pedersen is present on his own behalf.

A record of the proceeding is made by videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

The Court is advised the parties have reached agreement as stated on the record.

Heather Pedersen and Lamont Pedersen are sworn.

Each party states they are in agreement with the stipulation as stated on the record.

*** FILED ***
07/10/2001

06/29/2001

CLERK OF THE COURT FORM D000C

HONORABLE DAVID M. TALAMANTE

N.P. Hunt Deputy

FC 2001-090793

THE COURT FINDS that the terms of the agreement of the parties are reasonable, they have been freely entered into without coercion after discussing the terms with counsel.

The Court accepts the agreement pursuant to Rule 80 (d), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure and enters same as an Order. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED awarding Mother temporary custody of the two minor children; namely, Tayler Pedersen born November 21, 1995 and Hunter Pedersen born August 2, 1998. Father shall have visitation with the minor children every $2^{\rm nd}$, $3^{\rm rd}$ and $4^{\rm th}$ weekend from 9:00 a.m. Friday through 12:00 Noon Monday. Mother shall have the minor children the $1^{\rm st}$ and $5^{\rm th}$ weekends.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Father place Mother back on his health insurance.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the minor children not be left along with paternal grandmother or Michael Trice at any time.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Father not make any derogatory remarks to the minor children or discuss this case with the minor children.

IT IS ORDERED that each party shall participate in drug and alcohol testing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

- 1. Each party shall appear in person at TASC, Inc. at 2234 N. 7th St. Phoenix, at 423 N. Country Club Drive, Suite 19, in Mesa or at 5955 W. Myrtle Ave., Suites 2-4, Glendale within 24 hours of receipt of these orders.
- 2. Each party shall present to TASC the Court Ordered Substance Abuse Testing Form issued by this Court, and

*** FILED ***
07/10/2001

06/29/2001

CLERK OF THE COURT FORM D000C

HONORABLE DAVID M. TALAMANTE

N.P. Hunt Deputy

FC 2001-090793

shall provide all information necessary for its completion.

- 3. Each party shall provide such samples as are reasonably required by TASC to comply with this Order. Each party shall submit to the Screen A drug test (full spectrum of drugs including alcohol).
- 4. Each party shall sign, execute and deliver such forms of consent and authorization as shall be reasonable required by TASC to comply wit this Order.
- 5. The results of said testing shall be reported directly to this Court in writing by TASC, with copies provided to counsel for both parties, or directly to the parties, if unrepresented.
- 6. Each party shall report for subsequent testing as directed by TASC, and shall present a photo I.D. at time of testing, along with any prescription medications currently being taken.
- 7. Each party shall pay the costs of his or her own testing IN CASH, MONEY ORDER, OR CASHIER'S CHECK at the time of testing.
- 8. All parties are advised that the failure, neglect or refusal to participate in testing may be considered an admission by the party that the testing, if conducted, would have revealed the sue of the substance(s) tested for, which finding is contrary to the best interest of the children, failure to submit to a drug test, absent good cause shown, may result in a finding of Contempt of Court, incarceration in the Maricopa County Jail, issuance of a Civil Arrest Warrant or other sanctions of the Court.

*** FILED ***
07/10/2001

06/29/2001

CLERK OF THE COURT FORM D000C

HONORABLE DAVID M. TALAMANTE

N.P. Hunt Deputy

FC 2001-090793

- 9. The parties are also advised that a diluted test specimen may be considered an attempt to conceal the presence of illicit drugs, which findings are contrary to the best interest of the children.
- 10. Each party shall be randomly tested **ONCE** within 24 hours of receipt of these orders. In the event either party tests positive for substance, the drug weekly testing of one or both parties shall continue until further order of the Court.
- 11. The parties are hereby advised that test results ARE NOT confidential and will be filed in the Court file upon receipt by the Court.

ISSUED: Court Ordered Substance Abuse Testing

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Father shall pay to Mother as support for the minor child of the parties \$600.00 per month current child support plus \$50.00 per month on arrearages for a total amount of \$650.00 per month effective May 1, 2001. Once the arrearages are current, then the child support obligation will be \$600.00 per month.

The Court enters an Order of Assignment as to Father for \$650.00 per month.

All support payments must be made payable to and sent to:

P.O. BOX 52107 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85072-2107

Pursuant to A.R.S. 25-510(C), the Clerk of the Superior Court will no longer be processing child support or spousal maintenance payments.

*** FILED ***
07/10/2001

06/29/2001

CLERK OF THE COURT FORM D000C

HONORABLE DAVID M. TALAMANTE

N.P. Hunt Deputy

FC 2001-090793

Superior Court clerks will continue to provide customer service and payment information, and will have access to automated records of the Support Payment Clearinghouse.

Please note that the handling fee prescribed by A.R.S. 12-284 for support payments made by order of assignment was increased to \$2.25 monthly (\$27.00 annually) by legislation effective January 1, 1998. The handling fee also must be sent to the Support Payment Clearinghouse.

Arizona Revised Statutes Section 25-503(I) states that, with certain exceptions, an unpaid child support judgment that became a judgment by operation of law (this means that it became a judgment when it was due and unpaid) expires three years after the emancipation of the last remaining unemancipated child who was included in the court order unless it is reduced to a formal written judgment by the court. An Obligee must apply in writing to the court to obtain a formal written judgment.

The Court waives the requirements under Rule 58(d), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, and signs this Minute Entry as a formal written Order of the Court.

11:32 a.m. Hearing concludes.

JUDICIAL OFFICER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

/\$/	HONORABLE	DAVID	М.	TALAMANTE	

Date